

SECTION 3 OF THE MODEL CODE OF CONDUCT: CODE AND GUIDANCE

This document contains Section 3 of the Model Code of Conduct for Members of Devolved Public Bodies, issued by the Scottish Ministers, and the accompanying Guidance on the section produced by the Standards Commission. The Model Code is displayed in purple text, with the Guidance in black and case examples in blue. The Guidance numbering follows that of the Standards Commission's standalone Guidance document.

While this document covers Section 3 only, members should bear in mind the provisions of Section 1 of the Code which sets out their responsibilities and when the Code applies. Members should also have regard to Section 2 of the Code, which outlines the nine key principles of public life on which the Code is based.

SECTION 3: GENERAL CONDUCT

Respect and Courtesy

- 3.1 I will treat everyone with courtesy and respect. This includes in person, in writing, at meetings, when I am online and when I am using social media.
- 3.2 I will not discriminate unlawfully on the basis of race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender reassignment, disability, religion or belief, marital status or pregnancy/maternity; I will advance equality of opportunity and seek to foster good relations between different people.
- 3.3 I will not engage in any conduct that could amount to bullying or harassment (which includes sexual harassment). I accept that such conduct is completely unacceptable and will be considered to be a breach of this Code.
- 3.4 I accept that disrespect, bullying and harassment can be:
 - a. a one-off incident,
 - b. part of a cumulative course of conduct; or
 - c. a pattern of behaviour.
- 3.5 I understand that how, and in what context, I exhibit certain behaviours can be as important as what I communicate, given that disrespect, bullying and harassment can be physical, verbal and non-verbal conduct.
- 3.6 I accept that it is my responsibility to understand what constitutes bullying and harassment and I will utilise resources, including the Standards Commission's guidance and advice notes, my public body's policies and training material (where appropriate) to ensure that my knowledge and understanding is up to date.
- 3.7 Except where it is written into my role as Board member, and / or at the invitation of the Chief Executive, I will not become involved in operational management of my public body. I acknowledge and understand that operational management is the responsibility of the Chief Executive and Executive Team.
- 3.8 I will not undermine any individual employee or group of employees, or raise concerns about their performance, conduct or capability in public. I will raise any concerns I have on such matters in private with senior management as appropriate.
- 3.9 I will not take, or seek to take, unfair advantage of my position in my dealings with employees of my public body or bring any undue influence to bear on employees to take a certain action.
 I will not ask or direct employees to do something which I know, or should reasonably know, could compromise them or prevent them from undertaking their duties properly and appropriately.
- 3.10 I will respect and comply with rulings from the Chair during meetings of:
 - a. my public body, its committees; and
 - b. any outside organisations that I have been appointed or nominated to by my public body or on which I represent my public body.
- 3.11 I will respect the principle of collective decision-making and corporate responsibility. This means that once the Board has made a decision, I will support that decision, even if I did not agree with it or vote for it.

2



Respect and Courtesy: General

- You must treat everyone you come into contact with in your role as a member with courtesy and respect, even if you disagree with their views. This can include employees, officials from the sponsor body, members of the public, service users, politicians and fellow members.
- It should be noted, in the context of paragraph 3.1 of the Code, that meetings can include virtual meetings or other forms of remote working via platforms such as MS Teams, Skype and Zoom.
- While you are entitled to express your views and to disagree with others, you must do so in a respectful way. It is usually better to try and focus on the issue itself, rather than making any personal comments about an individual.
- You should always be mindful about how others could reasonably perceive your conduct, and that even if it is not your intention to be disrespectful or discourteous, your behaviour could be interpreted as such.
- If you make a comment in the heat of the moment, which you do not mean and then regret, you should consider retracting it and / or apologising. Bear in mind, however, that comments made on social media may have been circulated widely by the time you seek to retract them or apologise.
- You should always think ahead. If you have any concerns about a potential problem, speak to your public body's Chair, Standards Officer or Chief Executive so that advice can be sought and / or action can be taken before a situation becomes a serious problem. This could avoid or reduce the likelihood of an inadvertent breach of the Code and / or a complaint being made about you. The fact that you have sought advice, or indeed failed to seek advice, may be taken into account at a Hearing. Similarly, evidence of an immediate apology or retraction may be a mitigating factor at a Hearing.
- You should ensure you are familiar with the **Equality Act 2010**, which provides a legal framework to protect the rights of individuals and advance equality of opportunity for all. The Equality and Human Rights Commission has produced guidance on the Equality Act, which can be found at: https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/en/advice-and-guidance/equality-act-guidance.

Respect and Courtesy: Applicability of the Code

- It is very important to note that the rules of good conduct set out in Section 3 of the Code must be observed in all situations where you are acting as a member of your public body, which includes when you are representing the public body on official business.
- As noted in the Introduction Section of the Code, it is also applicable in all situations where you have identified yourself as a member or where you might objectively be perceived to be acting as a member. You should be mindful, therefore, that your perception of when you are carrying out official business and when you are acting privately may be different to how it is viewed by a member of the public. Factors to consider include whether:
 - you are clear about the capacity in which you are acting;
 - you describe yourself as a member or are otherwise readily identifiable as a member in the situation / circumstances;
 - you are on the public body's premises or at one of its events;
 - you are using IT equipment and / or an email account supplied by your public body;
 - your conduct could reasonably be regarded as bringing your position as a member, or your public body, into disrepute;
 - you are engaged in political activity or commenting on political matters or matters of public concerns, and whether these relate to fall to, or fall within, the scope of the public body's functions; and
 - you are representing the public body or speaking on behalf of the public body.

In making any decision on whether the Code applies, the Standards Commission will consider whether a member of the public, with knowledge of the relevant facts, could reasonably perceive you as having been acting as a member at the time of the alleged breach of the Code.

A member shared an article that contained a sectarian comment on their LinkedIn profile. While the LinkedIn profile was a personal one, and did not state explicitly that the individual in question was a member of their public body, the Panel determined that it was apparent from the content of the profile, other posts, and shared items that this was the case. Therefore, the Panel found that it would have been reasonable for an informed member of the public to have perceived that the individual could have been acting in their capacity as a member of the public body. The Panel accepted that the member's position was that they had not read the article in full, and that the member was absolutely appalled by the remark in question, but nevertheless found that there had been a breach of the Code. The Panel agreed that sharing an article of that nature was likely to bring both the member and their public body into disrepute.

A councillor was convicted of sexual assault in respect of an incident that occurred at a Trades Association event. The Panel was satisfied that it would have been reasonable for an informed member of the public to have perceived that the councillor was acting as a councillor at the event, given both the public nature of it and also because the invitation to attend had originally been sent to another councillor, a party group leader, before being passed on. The Panel concluded that the Code applied.

A member sent and encouraged an employee of his public body with whom he had a personal relationship to send, inappropriate social media messages, including messages of a sexual nature, during office hours. The Panel rejected arguments that the member had been acting in an entirely personal capacity. It found that the member could not completely separate himself from his role as a board member of his public body, and that, when sending or encouraging the employee to send the messages during working hours, he was acting as a board member.

Respect and Courtesy: Social Media

- The rules of good conduct also apply when you are engaging in online activity, including when using social media. Social media is a term used to describe online technologies, platforms, applications and practices that are used to share information, knowledge or opinions. These can include, but are not limited to, social networking sites, blogs, wikis, content sharing sites, photo sharing sites, video sharing sites and customer feedback sites.
- The Standards Commission has produced an Advice Note for Members on the Use of Social Media. This can be found at: https://www.standardscommissionscotland.org.uk/education-and-resources/professional-briefings.
- The conduct expected of you in a digital medium is no different to the conduct you should employ in other methods of communication, such as face to face meetings and letters.

 Before commenting or posting, you should consider very carefully whether:
 - you understand the immediate and permanent nature of any comment or post you are about to make, and that you will have no control over the extent to which it is shared, and by whom;
 - you would make that comment or post in-person, face to face;
 - you have such conviction in what you are about to share that you would be prepared to justify it if challenged at a later date; and
 - you fully understand that even if you delete your post, it may have been captured by way of a screenshot or otherwise retained in some way (including being automatically cached online) and that fully deleting content once it has been shared online is almost impossible to achieve.



- 38 Other important factors to consider when using social media include whether:
 - you are identifiable as a member by directly referring to yourself as such or indirectly
 by referring to the public body, or the functions of your role as a member, or through
 any information or images posted;
 - the account you are using is 'private' and whether you have set your privacy controls accordingly. You should bear in mind that anyone who is able to view your social media content will be able to screenshot and publicly share it, if they choose to do so;
 - the number of 'followers' you have and whether these individuals are following your account because you are a member of your public body;
 - you have complied with any policy your public body has produced on the use of social media;
 - information you are posting is confidential and you only have access to it because you are a member of the public body;
 - you are demonstrating bias or pre-determination;
 - you are using the public body's equipment and / or your public body's information technology network or your own; and
 - you have complied with the law including defamation, copyright, data protection, employment and equalities or harassment provisions.

A complaint alleged that a member had set up a Facebook account under a false name in order to post derogatory comments about employees of the public body. The owner of the account was identified as the posts contained information about specific employees that could only be known by a member. It was established that by posting the comments, the member in question had been acting in their capacity as a member, regardless of whether or not they had identified themselves as such. It was found that the member had breached the respect provisions of the Code.

Respect and Courtesy: Article 10 ECHR - Your Right to Freedom of Expression

You have a right to freedom of expression under Article 10 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR). You are entitled to express your views and opinions.

You should note, however, that the protection Article 10 affords is not absolute and does not extend to, or excuse, hate speech or egregious offensive and abusive personal attacks.

Therefore, you may wish to think about:

- whether your comments are likely to bring your office or the public body itself into disrepute;
- whether you are treating others with courtesy, respect and consideration;
- whether making your point in a respectful and constructive manner may have more
 of an impact in terms of influencing others;
- the fact that 'liking', re-posting and re-tweeting comments or posts, or publishing links to other sites are likely to be perceived as endorsing the original opinion, comment or information, including information on other sites;
- whether to allow disagreement on your social media pages;
- the fact that tone can be harder to convey online so consideration should be given to whether humour, irony and sarcasm will be perceived as such;
- whether you have to respond and / or if it is appropriate or helpful to do so;
- whether anything you post could be considered obscene.

The Standards Commission has produced an Advice Note that outlines the approach it will take when issues that concern the application of Article 10 of the ECHR and the right to freedom of expression arise. It also suggests issues members should consider in order to ensure compliance with the provisions concerning courtesy, respect and confidentiality in the Code. The Advice Note is available on the Standards Commission's website at: https://www.standardscommissionscotland.org.uk/education-and-resources/professional-briefings.

Respect and Courtesy: Equalities

You are expected to advance equality of opportunity and to seek to foster good relations between different people. It is unacceptable for a public figure such as a member of a public body to express views that indicate a discriminatory attitude towards people on the basis of race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender reassignment, disability, religion or belief, marital status or pregnancy/maternity.

A complaint alleged that a member had posted a homophobic comment on the complainer's Facebook page and that he had accessed his account using a mobile phone issued by his public body when doing so. It was found that the comment made by the member had clearly been intended to insult and demean the complainer. The member was found to have breached the Code.

A complaint alleged that a councillor had shared, on Facebook and Twitter, a blog article which was critical of a union member who had organised an equal pay strike in Glasgow. The article contained references to "Mein Kampf" and of Hitler having accused "The Jew" of gradually assuming membership of the trade union movement. It was found that the article promoted negative stereotypes and was antisemitic in nature. The councillor was found to have breached the respect provisions of the Code.

A councillor referred to the complainer as a 'TERF' (Trans Exclusionary Radical Feminist) in a series of tweets and emails. The Panel found that while the term TERF was potentially controversial and could be seen as one of abuse, it could also be used or perceived as simply a descriptor. It was found, however, that it was evident from the Respondent's description, over an extended period of time, of TERFS as being "scum" and "hateful and vile", that the councillor intended it to be one of abuse. It was further found that the councillor had directed the term at the complainer as an individual and that it was about her as a person, rather than simply being a descriptor of her alleged views. As such, it was determined that the reference to the complainer as a TERF, in context, amounted to a personal attack on her and that the councillor had failed to behave in a respectful manner. It was further determined that the councillor had used a highly derogatory profanity about a member of the public in another tweet. It was found that using such a word in a public forum such as a tweet was highly offensive and inappropriate, regardless of whether it had been directed at any individual or identifiable group of individuals. The councillor was found to have breached the Code.

Respect and Courtesy: Bullying & Harassment

- Bullying is inappropriate and unwelcome behaviour which is offensive and intimidating, and which makes an individual or group feel undermined, humiliated or insulted. It usually, but not always, arises as a result of an individual misusing their power.
- Harassment is any unwelcome behaviour or conduct which makes someone feel offended, humiliated, intimidated, frightened and / or uncomfortable. It can be experienced directly or indirectly (for example, being in the room which unacceptable conduct is being displayed and being affected by it).



- 44 It should be noted that bullying and harassment (which includes sexual harassment) can be a course of behaviour or a one-off incident.
- 45 Even if the behaviour in question is unintentional, it can still be classed as bullying and / or harassment. It is the impact of the behaviour, not the intent, that is the key. You should therefore at all times be aware of the impact of your conduct on others, and remember that what may seem harmless to you can be offensive to someone else.
- Bullying and harassment can occur through all means of conduct and communication including social media posts, shares and comments. It can also arise through a lack of communication, such as the deliberate exclusion of an individual from a conversation, work or social activity.
- You are responsible for your own behaviour. You must ensure that you are aware of, and comply with, the provisions concerning bullying and harassment in the Code and also any policy your public body has on ensuring dignity in the workplace.
- The Standards Commission has produced an Advice Note for Members on Bullying and Harassment. The Advice Note is available on the Standards Commission's website at:

 https://www.standardscommissionscotland.org.uk/education-and-resources/professional-briefings.

A complaint alleged that a member had behaved in a disrespectful manner towards two female fellow members and employees. It was established that the member had made unwarranted and inappropriate physical contact with the fellow members and employees at an official event and had also made remarks towards the employees which were patronising and demeaning. The member was found to be in breach of the Code.

A complaint alleged that a member had sent an email to a number of employees of their public body and posted a Twitter message, describing an employee as "arrogant, lazy, mentally challenged" and as having been "useless for years". The impact of the emails led the employee to seek medical and other support and resulted in him taking sickness absence due to stress. The Panel found the emails and tweet to be completely unwarranted and would have adversely affected the employee's ability to carry out his role. The Panel found the member's conduct amounted to a breach of the Code.

A complaint alleged that a member made a number of allegations and critical comments on his online blog about the complainer, who was a fellow member, which were of a personal and insulting nature. It was found that the comments had been made without factual basis, were disrespectful and were clearly intended to demean the complainer in a public forum. The member was found to have breached the Code.

A complaint alleged that a councillor had made remarks of an abusive, insulting and personal nature to a police officer, and also made a number of unfounded allegations about him during two telephone calls to a Police Station. It was found that the councillor had made the telephone calls in his capacity as a ward councillor and concluded that the provisions of the Code applied to him at the time of the events in question. It was further found that the comments made by the councillor in the telephone conversations amounted to an unacceptable personal attack on the police officer and that he had breached the respect provisions in the Code.

Respect and Courtesy: Public Body Employees

- 49 It is understood that there may be tensions in an environment where individuals have different backgrounds and experiences. It is nevertheless essential to ensure that the public has confidence in the public body and the role of its members. This can only be achieved if members behave in a respectful way towards each other and towards the public body's employees.
- The requirement to respect all public body employees includes employees of contractors providing services to the public body; and employees of any other organisations where it might be reasonably perceived that the public body (and by implication the member) has an influence over that organisation.

A complaint alleged that a councillor had sent a series of emails (and made statements in council meetings) over a period of eleven months, to his fellow councillors and to senior council employees, alleging corruption in the allocation of a council property a family member of another councillor. The councillor in question had provided no proof to back up his claims of corruption. A number of internal council investigations, and finally an independent investigation carried out by Audit Scotland, had all concluded that there was no evidence to suggest any corruption in relation to the housing allocation. The Panel considered that by making such serious and unwarranted public accusations about the conduct of employees, the councillor's conduct was offensive and fell well below the standard to be expected of a councillor, and therefore found that the Code had been breached. It is worth noting that in this case, due to the seriousness of the contravention and two previous breach findings against him, the councillor was disqualified.

Distinguishing between Strategic and Operational Matters

The Standards Commission has produced an Advice Note for Members on Distinguishing
Between their Strategic Role and any Operational Work, which can be found at: https://www.standardscommissionscotland.org.uk/education-and-resources/professional-briefings. In general, if a duty is delegated to an employee, then it is likely to be operational in nature. You may wish to seek information about specific matters, cases or a particular item of work, but you should be aware that employees may feel pressured by a member challenging their actions or appearing critical of some aspect of their work. This is particularly the case with junior employees, who may not be used to dealing directly with members. Any concerns about performance should be raised in private with the Chair who can then bring them to the attention of the Chief Executive or the employee's line manager, as appropriate.

Respect and Courtesy: Public Comment about Public Body Employees

As a member, you are entitled (and indeed required) to scrutinise the effective delivery of services and whether operational targets have been achieved. You should be careful, however, not to make public statements which expressly, or by implication, criticise the actions (or inaction) of an individual employee or identifiable group of employees (where individuals in that group are, or could be, identifiable). You should note that the concept of a public statement is wide and can cover a variety of scenarios such as the published minutes of a board meeting, a comment on social media, or being overheard in a public area, such as a corridor or tearoom. This provision does not prevent you from scrutinising the performance of a team or service. Instead, it precludes you from making any public criticism, which is personalised in nature, about an individual officer or identifiable group of officers. When you need to raise concerns in public about the performance, conduct or capability of the organisation (or parts of it), you must do so objectively and respectfully, focusing on the issues rather than any employees who are or were directly involved.



For example, in a scenario where you are concerned about the quality of a report before you, you should consider how you raise your concerns. Saying "I note this report does not contain a risk assessment – I would be grateful if a risk assessment could be undertaken" would be respectful, whereas saying "as usual, your report is inadequate and poorly prepared as it does not contain a risk assessment" could be perceived as being personally critical of the report's author.

An example of effective scrutiny could be to say at a public meeting "I have concerns about this service we are providing in respect of X, as it appears there are undue delays. Could a report therefore be prepared on service delivery for X against key performance indicators over the last six months? Where these are not met, could information be provided as to why not and on what steps are being taken to improve matters". However, saying that "the performance of Ms A, as the director, appears to be lacking, as service X is clearly not meeting its key performance indicators" could be seen as being critical of Ms A as an individual.

If you have concerns about the performance, conduct or capability of an employee, you must raise them in private with senior management and in accordance with your public body's procedures. You may wish to discuss your concerns with the Chair in the first instance, to see if they are shared by other members. If you are raising concerns about an employee with senior management, you should try to be as objective and specific as possible.

For example, it would be more helpful to say: "I am concerned about the way X spoke to me at the board meeting on Y date in that I found his remark to the effect that... to be rude and disparaging", rather than "X is rude towards board members".

Similarly, it would be more constructive to say "I am concerned about X's performance as the reports she produced for the meetings on Y and Z dates were not of the quality I would expect from someone of her grade in that they lacked any detail about... and did not cover...", rather than "X's performance is not up to scratch as her reports are rubbish".

If you have concerns about the performance, conduct or capability of your public body's Chief Executive, you should raise them in private with the Chief Executive. You should discuss your concerns with the Chair before doing so, to see if they are shared by other members and / or are already being addressed. If you are an executive Board member, you should raise any such concerns with an appropriate member of the management team through established local procedures.

A complaint alleged that a member had become inappropriately involved in disciplinary proceedings being brought against an employee of their public body. The member sought to influence the operational decision-making by sending a number of emails to the employee's line manager excusing the employee's alleged misconduct and praising their performance. In trying to interfere with the line manager's performance of their operational duties the member lost sight of their governance role and overall responsibility to their public body. The member was found to have breached the Code.

A complaint alleged that a member engaged in public criticism of the Chief Executive of their public body by posting information and comments on a stakeholder's Facebook page. The member did not give the Chief Executive an opportunity to respond before publishing the comments on the Facebook page. The member was found to have breached the Code.

A public body was in the process of updating its website's design and content. One of its members sent numerous emails, and made multiple telephone calls, to the public body's IT department questioning the proposed layout, the timescale for the roll-out and the design of other websites in the supplier's portfolio. The member also suggested wording and other content to be used on specific pages and questioned the proposed menu headings for the new site. While the Panel accepted that members would have a strategic role in such a project, and would be entitled to scrutinise its implementation and make some suggestions, the member in question was found to have strayed too far into discussions and decision-making at an operational level. Indeed, the Panel found that the member's interference resulted in delays to the project as employees' time was taken up in dealing with the member's enquiries and suggestions. The member was found to have breached the Code.

Respect and Courtesy: Public Body Meetings

- The word 'Chair' in paragraph 3.10 of the Code, and the word 'Chair' in this Guidance, are not restricted to those specific terms and apply to any individual holding a similar chairing role.
- The role of the Chair in any public body meeting, including a committee meeting or a meeting of a working group or similar forum, is to ensure that the agenda of business is properly dealt with and clear decisions are reached. To do this, the Chair has a responsibility to ensure that the views and opinions of other participants (including the advice of employees) can be expressed. At the same time, the Chair has a responsibility for proper and timely conduct of the meeting and for helping to ensure the meeting is conducted in compliance with the public body's Standing Orders. This includes determining the point at which conclusions should be reached. Chairs are required to adopt a balanced approach to help ensure fairness to participants while at the same time dealing firmly with any attempt to disrupt or unnecessarily delay the meeting. If you are present, you share the responsibility for the proper and expeditious discharge of business. As such, you should ensure you are familiar with your public body's relevant rules, regulations or Standing Orders. The role of the Chair in reaching judgements about how the meeting is to be conducted should be supported and respected.

A complaint alleged that a member had failed to respect the Chair and other colleagues during a board meeting. Despite the Chair determining that the matter under consideration had been agreed, the member continued to speak over the Chair, requiring her to adjourn the meeting. Upon reconvening, the member continued to speak over the Chair. A motion was passed in terms of the public body's Standing Orders to suspend the member from the board meeting. Despite this motion, the member initially refused to leave, and it took a further adjournment from the Chair to persuade the member to remove himself. The Panel held that the member had breached the Code.

- You are accountable for your own conduct at all times in terms of the Code, irrespective of the conduct of others. Abusive or offensive language and / or unnecessarily disruptive behaviour should not be tolerated. During the course of a meeting, the Chair has the right to rule on and to take appropriate action as necessary on the acceptability of conduct, and any language used and comments made. This can include requiring the withdrawal of a remark, asking for an apology, or any other action necessary to allow the meeting to proceed properly. Factors you should consider include whether:
 - your behaviour, including your body language, is courteous and respectful (even when you hold a different view to that of other participants);
 - you are treating others with courtesy, respect and consideration;
 - your choice of language in meetings is appropriate and meets the high standards expected by the general public;



- it is appropriate to refer to other members by nicknames or to refer to them in the second person, by using terms such as 'you';
- newspapers, mobile phones, laptops and other devices are being used appropriately or whether
 their usage could be perceived as you not being engaged in the meeting or listening to what
 others are saying; and
- your conduct could diminish the public's opinion of, and trust and confidence in, the public body and / or its members.

A complaint alleged that a member had been disrespectful towards a fellow member by making disparaging remarks about their input into a discussion. Their remarks included a personal comment about the other member's intelligence and their suitability to be a board member. It was found that the member's remarks amounted to a personal attack and were egregious and inappropriate. The member was found to have breached the Code.

At a board meeting a member challenged a senior employee's integrity by accusing them of falsifying data in a performance report. The Panel found this behaviour particularly egregious given that the employee in question was not present at the meeting and, therefore, could not address the accusations. In addition, the concerns had not been raised previously with the employee or their line manager in private. The member was found to have breached the Code.

A councillor said "sieg heil" when the Chair of a committee curtailed debate on a motion. It was found that the words "sieg heil" are synonymous with the former fascist Nazi regime in Germany and are directly associated with obedience to an oppressive dictatorship. As such, it was found that the councillor's use of them could only be taken as an unacceptable way of protesting about how the Chair had conducted the meeting in respect of the item under consideration. Although the councillor had retracted the comment when asked to do so, it was found that he had breached the Code by failing to show respect to the Chair.

Collective Responsibility

- The provision in the Code concerning collective responsibility is not intended to inhibit or reduce private discussion by members in matters of decision-making and corporate responsibility. However, while you should be ready to offer constructive challenge in your capacity as a member, you must share collective responsibility for decisions taken by the board of your public body as a whole once such decisions have been made. The principle of collective responsibility applies at all times where you are acting as a member of your public body or could reasonably be perceived to be acting as a such. This could include when you are making a press statement or providing a quote to the media.
- If you fundamentally disagree with the decision taken by your board, then you have the option of recording your concerns in the minutes of the board meeting. If you remain discontented, you may wish to ask the Chair of your board for a meeting to discuss your concerns. Ultimately, though, if your concerns are not resolved to your satisfaction and you cannot accept and support the collective decision of your board, you may wish to consider whether it is appropriate to resign.
- It should be noted that the requirement to respect the principle of collective decision-making and corporate responsibility does not prevent a board from making a subsequent formal decision to alter, delete or rescind a decision (although if the board does so, the principle will apply to the new or altered decision).

During a board meeting of their public body, a member voiced their disagreement with a decision taken by their board. This disagreement was registered in the minutes of the board meeting. However, following the board meeting, the member posted an angry comment on Twitter criticising their board and stating in very strong terms that they did not agree with its decision. The Panel found that while the member was entitled to have their disagreement recorded in the minutes of the board meeting, their conduct in posting the Tweet failed to adhere to the principle of collective responsibility. As such, they were found to have breached the Code.

An NHS Board was seeking to buy land for potential car parking. Having identified a suitable site, the Board decided to make an offer that was slightly above market value, due to concerns about a third-party's interest in the land in question. One board member did not consider that the purchase at the proposed offer price represented best value, and was the only member to vote against the proposal. While the member accepted the majority decision of the Board, she later made adverse comments about the purchase to a local community group. The Panel found that by making such critical comments, the member had breached the Code.

Remuneration, Allowances and Expenses

3.12 I will comply with the rules, and the policies of my public body, on the payment of remuneration, allowances and expenses.

Gifts and Hospitality

- 3.13 I understand that I may be offered gifts (including money raised via crowdfunding or sponsorship), hospitality, material benefits or services ("gift or hospitality") that may be reasonably regarded by a member of the public with knowledge of the relevant facts as placing me under an improper obligation or being capable of influencing my judgement.
- 3.14 I will never ask for or seek any gift or hospitality.
- **3.15** I will refuse any gift or hospitality, unless it is:
 - a. a minor item or token of modest intrinsic value offered on an infrequent basis;
 - b. a gift being offered to my public body;
 - c. hospitality which would reasonably be associated with my duties as a board member; or
 - d. hospitality which has been approved in advance by my public body.
- 3.16 I will consider whether there could be a reasonable perception that any gift or hospitality received by a person or body connected to me could or would influence my judgement.
- 3.17 I will not allow the promise of money or other financial advantage to induce me to act improperly in my role as a board member. I accept that the money or advantage (including any gift or hospitality) does not have to be given to me directly. The offer of monies or advantages to others, including community groups, may amount to bribery, if the intention is to induce me to improperly perform a function.
- 3.18 I will never accept any gift or hospitality from any individual or applicant who is awaiting a decision from, or seeking to do business with, my public body.
- 3.19 If I consider that declining an offer of a gift would cause offence, I will accept it and hand it over to my public body at the earliest possible opportunity and ask for it to be registered.



- 3.20 I will promptly advise my public body's Standards Officer if I am offered (but refuse) any gift or hospitality of any significant value and / or if I am offered any gift or hospitality from the same source on a repeated basis, so that my public body can monitor this.
- **3.21** I will familiarise myself with the terms of the Bribery Act 2010, which provides for offences of bribing another person and offences relating to being bribed.

Gifts and Hospitality

- The Standards Commission has produced a separate Advice Note for members on Gifts and Hospitality which can be found at: https://www.standardscommissionscotland.org.uk/education-and-resources/professional-briefings.
- 62 In your role as a member, you should never *ask* for any gifts or hospitality. However, you will be *offered* gifts and hospitality: the Code makes it clear that the default position is you should refuse these, except in the very limited circumstances listed at paragraph 3.15 of the Code see Notes 68 to 70 below for further information. It should be noted that acceptance can include accepting the promise of a gift or hospitality.
- 'Gifts' or 'hospitality' can come in many forms. Beyond the everyday things like bottles of wine or offers of lunch, they can include benefits such as tickets to sporting or other events; provision of services at a price below that generally charged to the public; incurring personal debts or obligations on your behalf, relief from indebtedness, loan concessions, or other financial inducements.
- **Objective test**: you should always consider whether your acceptance of a gift or hospitality, in the limited circumstances permitted under paragraph 3.15 of the Code, would allow an informed member of the public to think it might lead to your being influenced in your judgement on matters. You should also always consider whether you would have been given the gift or hospitality if you were not a board member. In doing so, you should think not just of your own perception, but the perception of others.
- In terms of paragraph 3.16, you should also consider whether there could be a perception that a member of the public, with knowledge of the relevant facts, might reasonably consider that any gift or hospitality received by a person or body connected to you could or would influence your judgement in respect of any matter you are to consider as a member of your public body. If so, you will be required to consider whether you need to declare an interest, in terms of Section 5 of the Code.
- You should note that it is a criminal offence either to make or receive a bribe. You should note that paragraph 3.21 specifically requires you to familiarise yourself with the terms of the **Bribery Act**2010, which outlines in details what a bribe is. The Bribery Act 2010 sets out technical definitions for both, however in simple terms a bribe has the following elements:
 - to give, promise or offer an advantage (financial or otherwise) to someone, OR
 - to request, agree to receive or accept an advantage (financial or otherwise) from someone, AND, with the intention (by either the giver or receiver) to:
 - 1. Encourage the recipient to improperly perform a function or an activity, OR
 - 2. Reward the recipient who has already improperly performed a function or an activity.

It is also an offence when it is known by the giver or receiver that acceptance of the advantage would itself constitute improper performance of a relevant function or activity. An example of this would be the offer or acceptance of hospitality that goes beyond the limits of what would

reasonably be associated with your duties as a board member, under paragraph 3.15 of the Code. If you accept a gift or hospitality that would amount to a breach of the Code, this could be regarded as a bribe and a criminal offence under the Bribery Act. More information on the Bribery Act can be found at: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/bribery-act-2010-guidance. Your Standards Officer may also be able to assist with further material.

- An example of bribery might be where a windfarm operator promises to pay community benefit to an organisation in a councillor's ward if that councillor votes in favour of granting planning permission in circumstances where it would not otherwise be granted (i.e. if the proper statutory test of considering the provisions of the development plan and all material planning considerations was not applied or if community benefit was wrongly taken into account in determining a planning application).
- You should, therefore, be aware that irrespective of any of the provisions in the Code, a gift which induces an individual to improperly undertake a statutory duty, such as a regulatory decision, is still likely to fall foul of the provisions of the Bribery Act 2010. This is regardless of whether the gift is given directly to the individual, or to someone else. Such an action could result in a criminal prosecution.
- Paragraph 3.18 of the Code makes it clear that where an individual or organisation is awaiting a decision from, or seeking to do business with, the public body, you should not accept any form of gift or hospitality from them, no matter how small in nature or value. This is irrespective of whether you sit on a committee or working group with an influence on the outcome of such matters, as there could still be a perception that you might be in a position to influence colleagues making the decision one way or another. As you have a personal responsibility to comply with the Code, the onus is on you to ascertain whether the individual or organisation offering you gifts and / or hospitality is awaiting a decision from, or seeking to do business with, your public body.

Limited circumstances in which gifts and hospitality may be accepted

- Provided paragraph 3.18 of the Code does not apply, paragraph 3.15 sets out the very limited circumstances in which you might accept a gift or hospitality from another person in your role as a member. These would be things such as a pen, or a notepad, or hospitality such as tea or coffee at a local event, or a sandwich or buffet lunch included as part of a daily rate charged and provided to all delegates at a training event or conference. For example, as board member of a National Park Authority, you are asked by the Chair and Chief Executive to attend the opening of a new café within the national park. It would be reasonable for you to attend, and to accept an offer of a light lunch.
- Similarly, where you are representing the public body in an official role, you will be expected to accept hospitality normally associated with that role, for example, a dinner to commemorate the anniversary of an event. If you are invited to such events, you should always check, in advance, with your public body that you can accept the invitation.
- Paragraph 3.19 of the Code also recognises that there may be situations where, as a member, you may be expected to accept gifts on the public body's behalf. These could be, for example, from representatives of a similar body from another country. In those circumstances, if it would cause embarrassment or offence to refuse the gift, you can accept it. You should, however, pass the gift to the appropriate employee of your public body at the earliest opportunity. It may be that it will then be registered on any internal register of gifts given to the public body as an entity.



Perception and Influence

- The provisions in the Code on gifts and hospitality are designed to avoid any perception that members may be using their role to obtain access to benefits that members of the public would otherwise be expected to pay for, and also to prevent them from being influenced (inadvertently or otherwise) into making decisions for reasons other than the public interest (for example, by serious organised crime gangs seeking to obtain contracts and licences to facilitate money laundering).
- The requirement for members to advise their public body's Standards Officer of any offers of any significant gifts or hospitality from the same source on a repeated basis, that you have declined in terms of the Code, is important as it ensures the public body can take action if it appears the same individual or organisation is attempting to influence its board members and decision-making. It is also open to you, in the interests of transparency, to declare any gifts and hospitality you have declined.

A complaint alleged that a member accepted and subsequently failed to declare hospitality received from a law firm that was involved in a tender application to provide legal services to the member's public body. The hospitality involved a trip to watch the Scottish Cup Final at Hampden. It could not be said that the hospitality in question was minor, or that it was associated with the member's duties as a member of their board. In addition, it was found that it should have been evident to the member that there was a possibility that the law firm would submit a tender to provide services to the public body. Although the member was not directly involved in the tender decision, the Panel found that it was likely that a member of the public would reasonably consider that the hospitality could have led the board member to influence others involved in making the decision. By accepting the hospitality, the member was found to have breached the Code.

A complaint alleged that a councillor had failed to declare hospitality received during a site visit from a recipient of planning permission who was to make further applications for the same development. However, there was no evidence to suggest that any Council representative, including the councillor in question, received any gift or further hospitality other than being provided with light refreshments mid-morning. Evidence suggested that these had been provided by the developers, following a Council request. This was not regarded as inappropriate for the purposes of the Code.

Confidentiality

- 3.22 I will not disclose confidential information or information which should reasonably be regarded as being of a confidential or private nature, without the express consent of a person or body authorised to give such consent, or unless required to do so by law. I note that if I cannot obtain such express consent, I should assume it is not given.
- 3.23 I accept that confidential information can include discussions, documents, and information which is not yet public or never intended to be public, and information deemed confidential by statute.
- 3.24 I will only use confidential information to undertake my duties as a board member. I will not use it in any way for personal advantage or to discredit my public body (even if my personal view is that the information should be publicly available).
- 3.25 I note that these confidentiality requirements do not apply to protected whistleblowing disclosures made to the prescribed persons and bodies as identified in statute.

Confidentiality

- You have a statutory right, subject to certain statutory exemptions (including those covered by data protection legislation), to the public body's information under the **Freedom of Information**(Scotland) Act 2002. You also have a right to request information where you can show a need to know that information in order to perform your duties as a member.
- 76 It is legitimate, however, for your public body to require you to treat certain documents and information, provided to you in your capacity as a member, as confidential. Given the potential damage that the unauthorised disclosure of confidential material can do to the standing, reputation and integrity of a public body, it is essential that you respect the provisions at paragraphs 3.22 to 3.25 of the Code.
- Information can become confidential in a number of ways, including in terms of the following examples:
 - a public body employee, or a member of the public, has asked you to treat it as confidential;
 - the public body has resolved to treat it as exempt information in terms of Freedom of Information legislation, or is likely to do so; and
 - information which, under the data protection legislation or the General Data Protection Regulation contains personal data, the release of which would lead to a breach under those provisions.
- 78 Sometimes the confidential nature of the material will be explicit, such as if the document is marked 'confidential'. In other cases, it will be clear, from the nature of the information or from the circumstances in which it was provided to you, that it is confidential. This may include the following types of information.
 - commercial information, such as information relating to a contract or a contractor's business;
 - personal or sensitive information, such as information relating to an individual's employment or health;
 - information which is confidential as a result of a statutory provision;
 - information discussed in closed or private sections of meetings;
 - legal advice obtained by the public body (either provided by employees or external legal advisers). This will be covered by legal privilege and should not be disclosed without the public body's permission;
 - information received as a result of a relationship where there is an expectation of confidence, such as between a member and a service user; and
 - information about any ongoing investigation being undertaken by the Ethical Standards Commissioner.
- The <u>Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998</u> (PIDA) allows individuals to disclose certain issues to *particular* external parties (known as 'prescribed' individuals or bodies) where there is good reason to believe that internal disclosure will not be taken seriously or will cause the individual making the disclosure to be penalised in some way. This is known as 'whistleblowing'. You should familiarise yourself with the types of matters which should be reported and the reporting procedure that should be followed where any wrongdoing is suspected, as outlined in the Act. A disclosure of confidential information to an external party, such as a media outlet, which is not included in the list of prescribed individuals or bodies is likely to be a breach of the Code.
- As a member, you are a data user and must comply with data protection legislation and your public body's data protection policies when handling information. Public body information provided to you must only be used by you for the purpose for which it was provided.



- You should be aware that a breach of confidentiality could result in criminal proceedings, civil liability for damages and / or a fine being imposed by the Information Commissioner, in addition to any reputational damage being incurred by you and / or the public body.
- 82 Confidential information must not be disclosed or in any way used for personal advantage or in such a way as to discredit the public body. This applies even in circumstances where you hold the personal view that such information should be publicly available.
- You must not provide the media with 'off the record' briefings on the general contents or 'line' of confidential material or information. Disclosures of this kind can also seriously undermine and devalue the work of the public body and its committees.
- Sometimes, confidentiality is a matter of timing, in that information may be released into the public domain at a later stage (either in the short or long term). However, you must respect the requirement for confidentiality even if you do not agree with it or consider that the information should be released at an earlier stage.
- You should seek advice if you are in any doubt as to whether any documents, information or advice are confidential, particularly if you are intending to disclose these to any outside body or individual.
- As a member, you are in a position of trust and service users may provide you with information that could reasonably be regarded as confidential. If the status of any discussion is unclear, you should establish, at the earliest possible opportunity, whether some or all of the matters being discussed are to be treated as being confidential.
- 87 If you are considering disclosing any information which could reasonably be regarded as being confidential, you should always obtain confirmation (preferably in writing) that you have the authority to do so. However, you must be aware that the person who holds the information may not necessarily have the authority to permit any such disclosure. For example, another member may have passed on information to you. The fact that this information has been passed to you by another member does not mean that the information in question is not confidential, or that the member in question has the authority to permit you to disclose it further.
- You should be aware of the provisions of data protection legislation. If you hold personal information (such as personal details of a service user or other information such as medical conditions), you may require to be registered as a data controller under data protection legislation. You must abide by the following rules when holding and processing personal data:
 - you must only use the information for the purposes for which it was given;
 - you must not share such information with anyone without the consent of the person giving the information, or unless required to do so by law. You should note, however, that you do not need a service user's consent to share information with your public body's employees for the purpose of assisting with the resolution of an enquiry or complaint, provided you do not use the constituent's personal data in a way that goes beyond their reasonable expectations in contacting you (unless you are required to do so by law); and
 - $\bullet\,$ you should not keep the information any longer than you need to.

A complaint alleged that a member disclosed confidential information relating to the health of a public body employee to a third party. It was found that the member had breached the Code by disclosing to a third-party information about the employee which was private, personal and sensitive and that was, by its very nature, confidential.

A complaint alleged that a member disclosed, in two Facebook posts, sensitive information about his public body's response to the Covid-19 pandemic. The information in question had been provided by employees at private briefings. The Panel, having heard from a number of witnesses, including other members, was satisfied that it was evident the information was intended to remain confidential until the public body had prepared its public communications. This was especially important given the nature of the communications, which could have caused undue fear or alarm. The Panel concluded, therefore, that the member had breached the confidentiality provisions of the Code.

A member disclosed to the press the identity of an employee who had made a claim for constructive dismissal against their public body. The member could only have become aware of the information he disclosed by virtue of being a board member, and would reasonably have been aware that the information was sensitive, confidential and not for public disclosure. The Panel concluded that the member had breached the Code.

A board meeting was convened to agree upon an action plan for the sale of part of a public body's property assets. During that meeting a 'ballpark' figure that the public body might accept for one of its properties was discussed. Subsequent to that board meeting, one of the members present at the board meeting attended a fishing trip organised by a close personal friend who was a property developer. The member disclosed to their friend that their public body was looking to dispose of part of its property assets, and additionally disclosed the 'ballpark' figure that had been discussed during the board meeting. The Panel found that by disclosing this information to their friend, the member had breached the confidentiality requirements of the Code.

A report from a health and social care partnership's Chief Officer, presenting a procurement business case for social care services, was being considered by its board. The report contained information in respect of the tendering exercise and subsequent award of a contract. The information about the award of the contract was disclosed by a board member to a third party after the meeting. In determining whether the member had breached the Code, the Panel noted that while the part of the report containing the information had not been explicitly marked as confidential, it had been discussed in a private part of the meeting. The Panel concluded, as such, that the board member, would have known, or should reasonably have been aware, that the information was confidential and should not have been disclosed. The member, therefore, was found to have breached the Code.

Use of Public Body Resources

- 3.26 I will only use my public body's resources, including employee assistance, facilities, stationery and IT equipment, for carrying out duties on behalf of the public body, in accordance with its relevant policies.
- **3.27** I will not use, or in any way enable others to use, my public body's resources:
 - a. imprudently (without thinking about the implications or consequences);
 - b. unlawfully;
 - c. for any political activities or matters relating to these; or
 - d. improperly.



Use of Public Body Resources

- As a general rule, facilities paid for by the public purse, and provided for use in public body business, should only be used for public body business, unless otherwise expressly permitted by the public body itself. It is recognised, however, that some public bodies may allow members occasional personal use of public body-provided equipment, such as laptops, mobile telephones and tablets. It is likely that your public body will have policies and protocols on related matters, including the use of IT and other equipment for personal and official purposes. The Code obliges you to adhere to such policies and protocols and, therefore, you should familiarise yourself with their contents.
- The Code now explicitly forbids the 'imprudent' (i.e. without thinking about the implications or consequences) use of public body facilities. Given the importance of achieving **best value**, it is important that members are not seen to be using facilities irresponsibly or wastefully. An example of this would be printing documents unnecessarily.
- 91 Facilities must never be used for political activities.
- Any expenses claims should be appropriate and necessary to perform your duties as a member of the public body. You should ensure that you are familiar with, and abide by, any policy your public body has in respect of expenses.
- The provisions at paragraphs 3.26 and 3.27 of the Code apply at all times and not just when you are acting as a member of the public body. Other factors to consider include:
 - whether you are either explicitly or impliedly allowing others to use public body facilities improperly;
 - how the resource you are using is funded (for example, who pays for any transport or administrative support); and
 - whether the resource is being used solely for you to carry out official public body business or for an activity which has expressly been authorised by your public body, or whether you are using it for something else as well.

A complaint alleged that a member used his public body's email account and computer to submit a tender application to another public body on behalf of his private consultancy business. It was found that the member had breached the provisions in the Code prohibiting the improper use of public body facilities.

A complaint alleged that a member asked employees in her public body's print room to print a substantial number of posters and flyers advertising a function being held to raise money for an external charity. While it was noted that the print room employees could have declined the request, the fact that it was made by a board member had made it difficult for them to do so. The Panel accepted that while the member had gained no personal benefit, she had nevertheless breached the Code.

A complaint alleged that a councillor had used Council facilities to send an email in relation to an application for planning permission submitted by his own company. He was found to have breached the provision in the Code concerning the improper use of Council facilities.

Dealing with my Public Body and Preferential Treatment

- 3.28 I will not use, or attempt to use, my position or influence as a board member to:
 - a. improperly confer on or secure for myself, or others, an advantage;
 - b. avoid a disadvantage for myself, or create a disadvantage for others or
 - c. improperly seek preferential treatment or access for myself or others.
- 3.29 I will avoid any action which could lead members of the public to believe that preferential treatment or access is being sought.
- 3.30 I will advise employees of any connection, as defined at Section 5, I may have to a matter, when seeking information or advice or responding to a request for information or advice from them.

Dealing with my Public Body and Preferential Treatment

- As a member, you must avoid conduct which seeks to further your own personal interests, or the interests of others you are connected to. You must also avoid conduct that may give the impression you are seeking preferential treatment. The test is not only whether it is your intention to seek preferential treatment but also whether a member of the public, with knowledge of all the relevant facts, would reasonably consider that preferential treatment is being sought. You should note that *seeking* preferential treatment can be a breach of the Code, regardless of whether any action is taken as a result. Factors to consider include whether you are asking employees to:
 - act in a way that suggests you are seeking preferential treatment for yourself or others;
 - undertake work or do a task that is outwith their normal duties (unless prior approval has been given by the employee's line manager). As a member, you are in a position of influence and, as such, it may be difficult for an employee to refuse a request, even if they have concerns that it may not be appropriate for them to agree.
- Paragraphs 3.28 to 3.30 of the Code are designed to ensure there is transparency in your dealings with employees of the public body. There is an onus on you to advise employees of any connection you may have to a matter when seeking advice, assistance or information whether within or outwith a formal meeting of the public body or its committees. This applies equally in circumstances where employees are seeking advice, assistance or information from you.
- You should not assume that employees will be aware, or will remember, any personal interest you have in a matter, when you are seeking their advice, assistance or information. It is important that you identify any connection as it may be that it is inappropriate for the employee to provide you with advice, assistance or information on the matter, if your connection is one that could amount to declarable interest. For more information on what is meant by 'connection' and a 'declarable interest' in this paragraph, see the further guidance provided under Section 5 (Declaration of Interests).

A complaint alleged that a member of an NHS board sought preferential treatment when contacting employees about a close relative's place on a waiting list for hospital treatment. In contacting the employee, the member had sought information which would not normally be available to members of the public. The member had also sought to exert influence in asking that the relative's treatment be expedited. It was found that the member's actions amounted to attempts to seek preferential treatment in breach of the Code.



A member asked an employee for their login details so they could log into a case management system in order to check the progress of a complaint made by a close friend. The employee in question refused to share the login details. However, the member was found to have breached the preferential treatment provision of the Code by virtue of their actions in seeking to gain entry to a case management system that they would not otherwise be allowed to access. While the member would have been entitled to ask for an update on the status of the complaint, the case management system contained personal data and confidential information to which the member was not entitled.

A firm, in which a councillor was a partner, submitted a planning application for a wind turbine. The Panel heard that the councillor sent two emails from his council email address, signed off by him as a councillor, to members of the planning committee that was due to consider the application. In his emails, the councillor outlined a number of points in favour of the planning application. The Panel determined that members of the public would reasonably conclude that, by sending the emails from his council email address and signing them off as a councillor, he was using his position as a councillor to seek preferential treatment. The councillor was found to have breached the Code.

Appointments to Outside Organisations

- 3.31 If I am appointed, or nominated by my public body, as a member of another body or organisation, I will abide by the rules of conduct and will act in the best interests of that body or organisation while acting as a member of it. I will also continue to observe the rules of this Code when carrying out the duties of that body or organisation.
- 3.32 I accept that if I am a director or trustee (or equivalent) of a company or a charity, I will be responsible for identifying, and taking advice on, any conflicts of interest that may arise between the company or charity and my public body.

Appointments to Outside Organisations

- Public bodies may, on occasion, appoint or nominate their board members to outside bodies. If you are appointed or nominated to an outside body, you are still bound by the Code, but you will also have responsibilities as a member of the outside body. These responsibilities may potentially include personal liabilities and could also give rise to conflicts of interest. Such conflicts may arise through competing personal interests, or the competing interests of the respective organisations of which you are a member. Public bodies will therefore need to consider this issue carefully when appointing board members to outside bodies. You need to consider carefully whether you can accept such appointments in each case.
- 98 You should be aware that you may need to register, in terms of Section 4 of the Code, your membership of another body. That membership could also amount to an interest that would require to be declared in terms of Section 5. More guidance in this regard can be found in the notes below on Sections 4 and 5.

- If you are appointed or nominated by your public body to an outside body, as a director or a trustee, you will assume legal responsibilities as an individual. These legal responsibilities, as a director of a company, arise by virtue of the Companies Acts, and / or as a charity director or trustee by virtue of the Charities and Trustee Investment (Scotland) Act 2005 (if the outside body is a registered charity). The Office of the Scottish Charity Regulator has up to date guidance on the latter scenario at: https://www.oscr.org.uk/guidance-and-forms/guidance-and-good-practice-for-charity-trustees/. If appointed or nominated to an outside body, you should ensure that you are clear about the role and the responsibilities you will have to it as an individual. You will also have to act in the outside body's best interests and, as a member, will be bound by the provisions in any code of conduct it has adopted, when acting as such.
- 100 If you have any doubts about your responsibilities or concerns about the impact of an appointment to an outside body on your ability to adhere to your public body's Code, you should seek advice before accepting such an appointment or before any meeting at which appointments are to be made. Advice can be sought from your public body's employees or, if appropriate, from employees of the outside body.